



# **Diligence Will Matter More Than Ever In 2017**

Uncertainty defined 2016. Analysts tried in vain to predict the Fed's actions on interest rates. Changing commodity prices defied investor expectations. And of course, the presidential election provided ample drama for the markets.

In the midst of all that uncertainty, investors grew more and more aware of the need for real diligence. The market is increasingly aware that the accounting constructs reported by companies (especially those non-GAAP results) don't always represent the underlying economics of the business. In 2016:

- Big institutional investors began <u>pushing companies</u> to <u>tie executive compensation</u> to return on invested capital (<u>ROIC</u>) instead of GAAP EPS.
- The SEC <u>began cracking down</u> on the non-GAAP numbers companies invent to make themselves appear more profitable.
- The influence of sell-side research <u>declined</u> as investors gained understanding of the <u>conflicts inherent</u> in the business model.
- Fights over the Department of Labor's <u>Fiduciary Rule raised investor awareness</u> of the need for diligent investment advice that serves their best interests.

Companies that tie executive compensation to ROIC <u>outperformed in 2016</u>. Figure 1 shows just how strong a driver of valuation ROIC is.

Stronger Link Between ROIC and Valuation For The S&P 500 Enterprise Value / Invested Capital  $R^2 = 70\%$ 40 35 30 25 20 15 50% -50% 50% 100% 150% 200% Return On Invested Capital (ROIC)

Figure 1: ROIC Vs. Enterprise Value/Invested Capital For The S&P 500

Sources: New Constructs, LLC and company filings.

When we <u>ran this same regression</u> at the beginning of 2016, ROIC explained 56% of the difference in valuation for companies in the S&P 500. Today, it explains 70% of the difference.

This trend should only grow more prevalent and powerful in 2017. Market conditions, changing investor behavior, and new technologies all come together to make diligent research matter more than ever.



#### This Market Requires Diligence

For many years after the Great Recession, the combination of falling interest rates and general economic recovery was a rising tide that lifted all stocks. Low rates spurred borrowing and activity, and as savings in the economy began to grow, the stock market was the only place to earn a decent return.

Now, it's fiscal policy, rather than monetary policy, that's driving the bus. The prospect of corporate tax reform, deregulation, and infrastructure spending has been driving the market up over the past several months. While low interest rates have a broad impact on the entire economy, fiscal policy impacts different companies in very different ways, which is why <u>individual stock correlations</u> are lower than at any point since the recession.

Lower correlation makes fundamental diligence more important. Investors need to truly understand a company's cash flows and business model to succeed in this environment. Superficial research just doesn't cut it.

As an example, look at the iShares Global Infrastructure ETF (IGF). Investors initially piled into the fund after the election in the hopes of an infrastructure boom, only to <u>quickly exit</u> after realizing it was filled with utilities that would suffer from rising interest rates. Meanwhile, the <u>four stocks we highlighted</u> to benefit from the election have an average return of 16% since Election Day versus 11% for the S&P 500.

### Passive Investing Removes the "Easy Games"

Gone are the days when professional investors could exploit information asymmetries to trade against novices and earn outsized returns. Increasing data availability and rules such as Reg FD have leveled the playing field to some extent. More importantly, many uninformed and unsophisticated investors have decided to stop playing the game entirely.

Passive funds have seen \$1.2 trillion in inflows over the past decade. Less skilled investors are growing content with an average market return, which leaves the professional money managers competing with each other for a limited share of alpha.

In his white paper "Looking for Easy Games," Michael Mauboussin has three suggestions for active managers looking to retain their edge:

- **Be Active:** Passive funds have mostly taken market share from "closet indexers," high fee mutual funds that hold portfolios virtually identical to their benchmark. We've <u>called out closet index funds</u> in the past as these funds essentially guarantee their investors will underperform. Active managers are not going to be cheaper than index funds, so they need to actually differentiate themselves in a meaningful way.
- **Be Long-Term Oriented:** We've all read the stories about the high frequency trading firms that only lose money <u>one day out of five years</u>. Humans cannot compete with computers at reading price signals and reacting to news events. The best way for active managers to outperform is to have a <u>low-turnover portfolio</u>. Investing for the long-term requires rich financial data going back years to get a complete picture of a company's business.
- **Use Quantitative Methods:** This one should be obvious. Managers may have been able to "go with their gut" in the past, but it's impossible in this day and age to justify making investment decisions without a quantitative basis. Most investors now know that the majority of active funds underperform after fees and that past performance is not a good indicator of future results. Attracting investor capital requires a clear process with proven links to investment returns.

Asset managers that want to take an active, long-term, quantitative approach need diligent research that goes deeper than the financial statements. Low cost ETFs can cover simple investment strategies such as buying stocks with low P/E ratios or rapid earnings growth. The only way for active managers to differentiate themselves is by cutting through the accounting constructs to get at the <u>real drivers of shareholder value</u>.

#### **Defining Diligence**

Depending on what happens with the Department of Labor's fiduciary rule, diligence could become required by law for advisors making investment recommendations. Even if the fiduciary rule does not go into effect, the debate over the standard has <u>raised investor awareness</u> and made investors more likely to demand a fiduciary level of diligence.





It's difficult to come up with a precise definition of diligence. Still, I don't think anyone could argue with the fact that truly diligent research should be:

- **Comprehensive:** All relevant publicly available (e.g. 10-Ks and 10-Qs) information has been diligently reviewed, including the footnotes and the Management's Discussion & Analysis (MD&A).
- **Un-conflicted:** Clients deserve unbiased research.
- Transparent: Users should be able to see how the analysis was performed and the data behind it.
- Relevant: There must empirical analysis with a <u>tangible</u>, <u>quantifiable connection to stock</u>, <u>ETF or mutual</u> fund performance.

### **Technology Makes Diligence Accessible**

Research that met the four criteria above used to be virtually impossible to produce at scale. People have understood for decades that ROIC is the key driver of shareholder value. However, the complexity of calculating ROIC led to an <u>expensive consulting model</u> with competing calculations, restricted access, and a lack of comparability.

As a result, most investment research continued to rely on metrics such as price-to-earnings ratios despite its obvious flaws. Bad research thrived because so few investors had access to worthwhile alternatives.

Fortunately, technological innovation is opening up new opportunities for diligence at scale. The increased sophistication of our <u>robo-analyst</u> technology allows us to continually increase both the number of companies we cover and the amount of information we provide to investors.

Now, the biggest names in the financial industry are embracing technology and automation. BlackRock, the largest fund company in the world, just announced that it will be moving roughly \$30 billion in assets to funds that rely on algorithms and artificial intelligence to pick stocks.

"The democratization of information has made it much harder for active management," BlackRock CEO Larry Fink told the New York Times. "We have to change the ecosystem — that means relying more on big data, artificial intelligence, factors and models within quant and traditional investment strategies."

Technology is rapidly disrupting the investing process. The raw processing power of machines, combined with the staggering amount of financial data now available, creates a competitive advantage that human investors can't replicate. Active managers that don't fully utilize these tools will increasingly find themselves on the wrong sides of trades with those that do.

This is not to say that there's no role for humans in the modern investment process. Skilled investors can still bring a level of industry knowledge and experience that machines can't replicate. Instead, machines can now automate much of the process of gathering data, building models, and identifying patterns. Leveraging technology gives investors a better baseline for analyzing industry trends and assessing a company's long-term competitive advantage.

Now that this technology for proper diligence exists, there is no reason for investors not to use it or advisors not to provide it.

This article originally published on April 3, 2017.

Disclosure: David Trainer and Sam McBride receive no compensation to write about any specific stock, sector, style, or theme.

Follow us on Twitter, Facebook, LinkedIn, and StockTwits for real-time alerts on all our research.

Scottrade clients get a Free Gold Membership (\$588/yr value) as well as 50% discounts and up to 20 free trades (\$140 value) for signing up to Platinum, Pro or Unlimited memberships. <u>Login or open your Scottrade account</u> & find us under Quotes & Research/Investor Tools.



# New Constructs® - Profile

### How New Constructs Creates Value for Clients

We find it. You benefit. Cutting-edge technology enables us to scale our <u>forensic accounting</u> <u>expertise</u> across 3000+ stocks. We shine a light in the dark corners of SEC filings so our clients can make safer, more informed decisions.

Our <u>stock rating methodology</u> instantly informs you of the quality of the business and the fairness of the stock's valuation. We do the diligence on earnings quality and valuation so you don't have to.

In-depth risk/reward analysis underpins our ratings. Our rating methodology grades every stock, ETF, and mutual fund according to what we believe are the 5 most important criteria for assessing the quality of an equity. Each grade reflects the balance of potential risk and reward of buying that equity. Our analysis results in the 5 ratings described below. Very Attractive and Attractive correspond to a "Buy" rating, Very Dangerous and Dangerous correspond to a "Sell" rating, while Neutral corresponds to a "Hold" rating.

QUESTION: Why shouldn't fund research be as good as stock research? Why should fund investors rely on backward-looking price trends?

ANSWER: They should not.

Don't judge a fund by its cover. Take a look inside at its holdings and understand the quality of earnings and valuation of the stocks it holds. We enable you to choose the best fund based on its stock-picking merits so you do not have to rely solely on backward-looking technical metrics.

The drivers of our <u>forward-looking fund ratings</u> are Portfolio Management (i.e. the aggregated ratings of its holdings) and Total Annual Costs. The Total Annual Costs Rating (<u>details here</u>) captures the all-in cost of being in a fund over a 3-year holding period, the average period for all fund investors.

# Our Philosophy About Research

Accounting data is not designed for equity investors, but for debt investors. Accounting data must be translated into economic earnings to understand the profitability and valuation relevant to equity investors. Respected investors (e.g. Adam Smith, Warren Buffett and Ben Graham) have repeatedly emphasized that accounting results should not be used to value stocks. Economic earnings are what matter because they are:

- 1. Based on the complete set of financial information available.
- 2. Standard for all companies.
- 3. A more accurate representation of the true underlying cash flows of the business.

#### Additional Information

Incorporated in July 2002, New Constructs is an independent publisher of investment research that provides clients with consulting and research services. We specialize in quality-of-earnings, forensic accounting and discounted cash flow valuation analyses for all U.S. public companies. We translate accounting data from 10Ks into economic financial statements, i.e. NOPAT, Invested Capital, and WACC, to create economic earnings models, which are necessary to understand the true profitability and valuation of companies. Visit the Free Archive to download samples of our research. New Constructs is a BBB accredited business and a member of the Investorside Research Association.



## **DISCLOSURES**

New Constructs®, LLC (together with any subsidiaries and/or affiliates, "New Constructs") is an independent organization with no management ties to the companies it covers. None of the members of New Constructs' management team or the management team of any New Constructs' affiliate holds a seat on the Board of Directors of any of the companies New Constructs covers. New Constructs does not perform any investment or merchant banking functions and does not operate a trading desk.

New Constructs' Stock Ownership Policy prevents any of its employees or managers from engaging in Insider Trading and restricts any trading whereby an employee may exploit inside information regarding our stock research. In addition, employees and managers of the company are bound by a code of ethics that restricts them from purchasing or selling a security that they know or should have known was under consideration for inclusion in a New Constructs report nor may they purchase or sell a security for the first 15 days after New Constructs issues a report on that security.

## **DISCLAIMERS**

The information and opinions presented in this report are provided to you for information purposes only and are not to be used or considered as an offer or solicitation of an offer to buy or sell securities or other financial instruments. New Constructs has not taken any steps to ensure that the securities referred to in this report are suitable for any particular investor and nothing in this report constitutes investment, legal, accounting or tax advice. This report includes general information that does not take into account your individual circumstance, financial situation or needs, nor does it represent a personal recommendation to you. The investments or services contained or referred to in this report may not be suitable for you and it is recommended that you consult an independent investment advisor if you are in doubt about any such investments or investment services.

Information and opinions presented in this report have been obtained or derived from sources believed by New Constructs to be reliable, but New Constructs makes no representation as to their accuracy, authority, usefulness, reliability, timeliness or completeness. New Constructs accepts no liability for loss arising from the use of the information presented in this report, and New Constructs makes no warranty as to results that may be obtained from the information presented in this report. Past performance should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of future performance, and no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made regarding future performance. Information and opinions contained in this report reflect a judgment at its original date of publication by New Constructs and are subject to change without notice. New Constructs may have issued, and may in the future issue, other reports that are inconsistent with, and reach different conclusions from, the information presented in this report. Those reports reflect the different assumptions, views and analytical methods of the analysts who prepared them and New Constructs is under no obligation to insure that such other reports are brought to the attention of any recipient of this report.

New Constructs' reports are intended for distribution to its professional and institutional investor customers. Recipients who are not professionals or institutional investor customers of New Constructs should seek the advice of their independent financial advisor prior to making any investment decision or for any necessary explanation of its contents.

This report is not directed to, or intended for distribution to or use by, any person or entity who is a citizen or resident of or located in any locality, state, country or jurisdiction where such distribution, publication, availability or use would be contrary to law or regulation or which would be subject New Constructs to any registration or licensing requirement within such jurisdiction.

This report may provide the addresses of websites. Except to the extent to which the report refers to New Constructs own website material, New Constructs has not reviewed the linked site and takes no responsibility for the content therein. Such address or hyperlink (including addresses or hyperlinks to New Constructs own website material) is provided solely for your convenience and the information and content of the linked site do not in any way form part of this report. Accessing such websites or following such hyperlink through this report shall be at your own risk.

All material in this report is the property of, and under copyright, of New Constructs. None of the contents, nor any copy of it, may be altered in any way, copied, or distributed or transmitted to any other party without the prior express written consent of New Constructs. All trademarks, service marks and logos used in this report are trademarks or service marks or registered trademarks or service marks of New Constructs.

Copyright New Constructs, LLC 2003 through the present date. All rights reserved.