



How to Avoid the Worst Sector ETFs

Question: Why are there so many ETFs?

Answer: ETF providers tend to make lots of money on each ETF so they create more products to sell.

[Learn more about the best fundamental research](#)

The large number of ETFs has little to do with serving your best interests. Only our research utilizes the superior data and earnings adjustments featured by the Harvard Business School and MIT Sloan paper, "[Core Earnings: New Data and Evidence](#)." We leverage this data to identify three red flags you can use to avoid the worst ETFs:

1. Inadequate Liquidity

This issue is the easiest to avoid, and our advice is simple. Avoid all ETFs with less than \$100 million in assets. Low levels of liquidity can lead to a discrepancy between the price of the ETF and the underlying value of the securities it holds. Plus, low asset levels tend to mean lower volume in the ETF and larger bid-ask spreads.

2. High Fees

ETFs should be cheap, but not all of them are. The first step here is to know what is cheap and expensive.

To ensure you are paying average or below average fees, invest only in ETFs with [total annual costs](#) below 0.48%, which is the average total annual costs of the 259 U.S. equity Sector ETFs we cover. The weighted average is lower at 0.27%, which highlights how investors tend to put their [money in ETFs with low fees](#).

Figure 1 shows Procure Space ETF (UFO) is the most expensive sector ETF and Schwab U.S. REIT ETF (SCHH) is the least expensive. Procure (UFO) provides one of the most expensive ETFs while Fidelity (FSTA, FNCL, FIDU) ETFs are among the cheapest.

Figure 1: 5 Most and Least Expensive Sector ETFs

Ticker	Name	Sector	Total Annual Cost
Most Expensive			
UFO	Procure Space ETF	Industrials	1.91%
KBWD	Invesco KBW High Dividend Yield Financial ETF	Financials	1.77%
KOIN	Innovation Shares NextGen Protocol ETF	Technology	1.06%
FFTY	Innovator IBD 50 ETF	Financials	0.89%
BBP	Virtus LifeSci Biotech Products ETF	Healthcare	0.88%
Least Expensive			
SCHH	Schwab U.S. REIT ETF	Real Estate	0.08%
USRT	iShares Core U.S. REIT ETF	Real Estate	0.09%
FSTA	Fidelity MSCI Consumer Staples Index ETF	Consumer Non-Cyclicals	0.09%
FNCL	Fidelity MSCI Financials Index ETF	Financials	0.09%
FIDU	Fidelity MSCI Industrials Index ETF	Industrials	0.09%

Sources: New Constructs, LLC and company filings



Investors need not pay high fees for quality holdings.¹ Fidelity MSCI Financials Index (FNCL) is the best ranked sector ETF in Figure 1. FNCL's Neutral [Portfolio Management rating](#) and 0.09% total annual cost earns it a Very Attractive rating.² Invesco KBW Bank ETF (KBWB) is the best ranked sector ETF overall. KBWB's Attractive Portfolio Management rating and 0.39% total annual cost also earns it a Very Attractive rating.

On the other hand, iShares Core U.S. REIT (USRT) holds poor stocks and earns our Very Unattractive rating, yet has low total annual costs of 0.09%. No matter how cheap an ETF, if it holds bad stocks, its performance will be bad. The quality of an ETF's holdings matters more than its price.

3. Poor Holdings

Avoiding poor holdings is by far the hardest part of avoiding bad ETFs, but it is also the most important because an ETF's performance is determined more by its holdings than its costs. Figure 2 shows the ETFs within each sector with the worst holdings or [portfolio management ratings](#).

Figure 2: Sector ETFs with the Worst Holdings

Ticker	Name	Sector	Portfolio Management Rating
PSCM	Invesco S&P Small Cap Materials ETF	Basic Materials	Unattractive
BUYZ	Franklin Disruptive Commerce ETF	Consumer Cyclical	Unattractive
PSL	Invesco DWA Consumer Staples Momentum ETF	Consumer Non-cyclical	Neutral
PSCE	Invesco S&P Small Cap Energy ETF	Energy	Unattractive
KBWD	Invesco KBW High Dividend Yield Financial ETF	Financials	Unattractive
FBT	First Trust NYSE Arca Biotechnology Index Fund	Healthcare	Unattractive
ARKQ	ARK Autonomous Technology & Robotics ETF	Industrials	Unattractive
ROOF	IQ U.S. Real Estate Small Cap ETF	Real Estate	Unattractive
WCLD	WisdomTree Cloud Computing Fund	Technology	Unattractive
JHCS	John Hancock Multifactor and Communications ETF	Telecom Services	Unattractive
PSCU	Invesco S&P Small Cap Utilities & Comm Services	Utilities	Unattractive

Sources: New Constructs, LLC and company filings

Invesco appears more often than any other providers in Figure 2, which means that it offers the most ETFs with the worst holdings.

WisdomTree Cloud Computing Fund (WCLD) is the worst rated ETF in Figure 2. First Trust NYSE Arca Biotechnology Index Fund (FBT), Franklin Disruptive Commerce ETF (BUYZ), and IQ U.S. Real Estate Small Cap ETF (ROOF) also earn a Very Unattractive [predictive overall rating](#), which means not only do they hold poor stocks, they charge high total annual costs.

Our [overall ratings on ETFs](#) are on our [stock ratings](#) of their holdings and the total annual costs of investing in the ETF.

The Danger Within

Buying an ETF without analyzing its holdings is like buying a stock without analyzing its business and finances. Put another way, research on ETF holdings is necessary due diligence because an ETF's performance is only as good as its holdings' performance. Don't just take our word for it, [see what Barron's says](#) on this matter.

PERFORMANCE OF ETFs HOLDINGS = PERFORMANCE OF ETF

¹ Compare our analytics on a mega cap company to Bloomberg and Capital IQ's (SPGI) analytics in the [detailed appendix of this paper](#).

² Harvard Business School features the powerful impact of our research automation technology in the case [New Constructs: Disrupting Fundamental Analysis with Robo-Analysts](#).



Analyzing each holding within funds is no small task. Our [Robo-Analyst technology](#) enables us to perform this diligence with scale and provide the [research needed](#) to [fulfill the fiduciary duty of care](#). More of the biggest names in the financial industry (see [At BlackRock, Machines Are Rising Over Managers to Pick Stocks](#)) are now embracing technology to leverage machines in the investment research process. Technology may be the only solution to the dual mandate for research: cut costs and fulfill the fiduciary duty of care. Investors, clients, advisors and analysts deserve the latest in technology to get the diligence required to make prudent investment decisions.

This article originally published on [August 3, 2020](#).

Disclosure: Kyle Guske II owns USRT. David Trainer, Kyle Guske II, and Matt Shuler receive no compensation to write about any specific stock, sector, or theme.

Follow us on [Twitter](#), [Facebook](#), [LinkedIn](#), and [StockTwits](#) for real-time alerts on all our research.



Footnotes adjustments matter. We are the ONLY source.

We provide ratings, models, reports & screeners on U.S. 3,000 stocks, 700 ETFs and 7,000 mutual funds.

HBS & MIT Sloan research reveals that:

- **Markets are inefficiently assessing earnings because no one reads the footnotes.**
- **Corporate managers hide gains/losses in footnotes to manage earnings.**
- **Our technology brings the material footnotes data to market for the first time ever.**

Combining human expertise with NLP/ML/AI technologies ([featured by Harvard Business School](#)), we shine a light in the dark corners (e.g. footnotes) of hundreds of thousands of financial filings to unearth critical details.

The HBS & MIT Sloan paper, [Core Earnings: New Data and Evidence](#), shows how our superior data drives uniquely comprehensive and independent debt and equity research.

This [paper](#) compares our analytics on a mega cap company to other major providers. The Appendix details exactly how we stack up.

Learn [more](#).

Quotes from HBS & MIT Sloan professors on our research:

Get better research:

“...the NC dataset provides a novel opportunity to study the properties of non-operating items disclosed in 10-Ks, and to examine the extent to which the market impounds their implications.” – page 20

Pick better stocks:

“Trading strategies that exploit cross-sectional differences in firms’ transitory earnings produce abnormal returns of 7-to-10% per year.” – Abstract

Avoid losses from using other firms’ data:

“...many of the income-statement-relevant quantitative disclosures collected by NC do not appear to be easily identifiable in Compustat...” – page 14

Build better models:

“Core Earnings [calculated using New Constructs’ novel dataset] provides predictive power for various measures of one-year-ahead performance...that is incremental to their current-period counterparts.” – page 4

Exploit market inefficiencies:

“These results ... suggest that the adjustments made by analysts and Compustat to better capture core earnings are incomplete. Moreover, the non-core items identified by NC produce a measure of core earnings that is incremental to alternative measures of operating performance in predicting an array of future income measures.” – page 26

Fulfill fiduciary duties:

“An appropriate measure of accounting performance for purposes of forecasting future performance requires detailed analysis of all quantitative performance disclosures detailed in the annual report, including those reported only in the footnotes and in the MD&A.” – page 33-34



DISCLOSURES

New Constructs®, LLC (together with any subsidiaries and/or affiliates, "New Constructs") is an independent organization with no management ties to the companies it covers. None of the members of New Constructs' management team or the management team of any New Constructs' affiliate holds a seat on the Board of Directors of any of the companies New Constructs covers. New Constructs does not perform any investment or merchant banking functions and does not operate a trading desk.

New Constructs' Stock Ownership Policy prevents any of its employees or managers from engaging in Insider Trading and restricts any trading whereby an employee may exploit inside information regarding our stock research. In addition, employees and managers of the company are bound by a code of ethics that restricts them from purchasing or selling a security that they know or should have known was under consideration for inclusion in a New Constructs report nor may they purchase or sell a security for the first 15 days after New Constructs issues a report on that security.

DISCLAIMERS

The information and opinions presented in this report are provided to you for information purposes only and are not to be used or considered as an offer or solicitation of an offer to buy or sell securities or other financial instruments. New Constructs has not taken any steps to ensure that the securities referred to in this report are suitable for any particular investor and nothing in this report constitutes investment, legal, accounting or tax advice. This report includes general information that does not take into account your individual circumstance, financial situation or needs, nor does it represent a personal recommendation to you. The investments or services contained or referred to in this report may not be suitable for you and it is recommended that you consult an independent investment advisor if you are in doubt about any such investments or investment services.

Information and opinions presented in this report have been obtained or derived from sources believed by New Constructs to be reliable, but New Constructs makes no representation as to their accuracy, authority, usefulness, reliability, timeliness or completeness. New Constructs accepts no liability for loss arising from the use of the information presented in this report, and New Constructs makes no warranty as to results that may be obtained from the information presented in this report. Past performance should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of future performance, and no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made regarding future performance. Information and opinions contained in this report reflect a judgment at its original date of publication by New Constructs and are subject to change without notice. New Constructs may have issued, and may in the future issue, other reports that are inconsistent with, and reach different conclusions from, the information presented in this report. Those reports reflect the different assumptions, views and analytical methods of the analysts who prepared them and New Constructs is under no obligation to insure that such other reports are brought to the attention of any recipient of this report.

New Constructs' reports are intended for distribution to its professional and institutional investor customers. Recipients who are not professionals or institutional investor customers of New Constructs should seek the advice of their independent financial advisor prior to making any investment decision or for any necessary explanation of its contents.

This report is not directed to, or intended for distribution to or use by, any person or entity who is a citizen or resident of or located in any locality, state, country or jurisdiction where such distribution, publication, availability or use would be contrary to law or regulation or which would be subject New Constructs to any registration or licensing requirement within such jurisdiction.

This report may provide the addresses of websites. Except to the extent to which the report refers to New Constructs own website material, New Constructs has not reviewed the linked site and takes no responsibility for the content therein. Such address or hyperlink (including addresses or hyperlinks to New Constructs own website material) is provided solely for your convenience and the information and content of the linked site do not in any way form part of this report. Accessing such websites or following such hyperlink through this report shall be at your own risk.

All material in this report is the property of, and under copyright, of New Constructs. None of the contents, nor any copy of it, may be altered in any way, copied, or distributed or transmitted to any other party without the prior express written consent of New Constructs. All trademarks, service marks and logos used in this report are trademarks or service marks or registered trademarks or service marks of New Constructs. Copyright New Constructs, LLC 2003 through the present date. All rights reserved.