1/28/21

# **How to Avoid the Worst Sector Mutual Funds**

Question: Why are there so many mutual funds?

Answer: mutual fund providers tend to make lots of money on each fund so they create more products to sell.

Learn more about the best fundamental research

The large number of mutual funds has little to do with serving your best interests. The <u>best fundamental data</u> in the world, proven in <u>The Journal of Financial Economics</u>, drives our research and analysis of mutual fund holdings. We leverage this data to provide tools that can <u>eliminate fund fees and create better asset allocations</u>. Below, we identify three red flags you can use to avoid the worst mutual funds:

### 1. Inadequate Liquidity

This issue is the easiest to avoid, and our advice is simple. Avoid all mutual funds with less than \$100 million in assets. Low levels of liquidity can lead to a discrepancy between the price of the mutual fund and the underlying value of the securities it holds. Plus, low asset levels tend to mean lower volume in the mutual fund and larger bid-ask spreads.

## 2. High Fees

Mutual funds should be cheap, but not all of them are. The first step here is to know what is cheap and expensive.

To ensure you are paying at or below average fees, invest only in mutual funds with total annual costs below 1.94%, which is the average total annual costs of the 656 U.S. equity Sector mutual funds we cover. The weighted average is lower at 1.26%, which highlights how investors tend to put their money in mutual funds with low fees.

Figure 1 shows Rydex Services Fund (RYESX) is the most expensive sector mutual fund and Vanguard Real Estate II Index Fund (VRTPX) is the least expensive. Rydex (RYESX, RYVCX, RYVAX) provides three of the most expensive mutual funds while Vanguard (VRTPX, VGSNX, VUIAX, VINAX, VITAX) mutual funds are the cheapest.

Figure 1: 5 Most and Least Expensive Sector Mutual Funds

Ticker	Name	Sector	Total Annual Cost	
Most Expensive				
RYESX	Rydex Energy Services Fund	Energy	8.51%	
RYVCX	Rydex Energy Services Fund	Energy	7.50%	
SFPAX	Saratoga Financial Services Portfolio	Financials	6.98%	
SBMBX	Saratoga Energy and Basic Materials Portfolio	Energy	6.97%	
RYVAX	Rydex Energy Services Fund	Energy	6.59%	
Least Expensive				
VRTPX	Vanguard Real Estate II Index Fund	Real Estate	0.09%	
VGSNX	Vanguard Real Estate Index Fund	Real Estate	0.12%	
VUIAX	Vanguard Utilities Index Fund	Utilities	0.12%	
VINAX	Vanguard Industrials Index Fund	Industrials	0.12%	
VITAX	Vanguard Information Technology Index Fund	Financials	0.12%	

Sources: New Constructs, LLC and company filings



1/28/21

Investors need not pay high fees for quality holdings.¹ Vanguard Consumer Staples Index Fund (VCSAX) has low costs and quality holdings. VCSAX's Attractive Portfolio Management rating and 0.12% total annual costs earn it a Very Attractive rating.² Fidelity Advisor Consumer Staples Fund (FIJCX) is the best ranked sector mutual fund overall. FIJCX's Attractive Portfolio Management rating and 0.78% total annual costs also earn it a Very Attractive rating.

On the other hand, Vanguard Real Estate II Index Fund (VRTPX) holds poor stocks and receives our Very Unattractive rating, yet has low total annual costs of 0.09%. No matter how cheap a mutual fund, if it holds bad stocks, its performance will be bad. The quality of a mutual fund's holdings matters more than its price.

#### 3. Poor Holdings

Avoiding poor holdings is by far the hardest part of avoiding bad mutual funds, but it is also the most important because a mutual fund's performance is determined more by its holdings than its costs. Figure 2 shows the mutual funds within each sector with the worst holdings or portfolio management ratings.

Figure 2: Sector Mutual Funds with the Worst Holdings

Ticker	Name	Sector	Portfolio Management Rating
FSCHX	Fidelity Select Chemicals Portfolio	Basic Materials	Unattractive
FDLSX	Fidelity Select Leisure Portfolio	Consumer Cyclicals	Unattractive
FSAVX	Fidelity Select Automotive Portfolio	Consumer Non-cyclicals	Unattractive
ICWIX	Integrity Mid-North American Resources Fund	Energy	Unattractive
FNTMX	Fidelity Disruptive Finance Fund	Financials	Unattractive
PHLQX	PGIM Jennison Health Sciences Fund	Healthcare	Unattractive
FCYIX	Fidelity Select Industrials Portfolio	Industrials	Unattractive
VRTPX	Vanguard Real Estate II Index Fund	Real Estate	Unattractive
ADNRX	American Beacon ARK Transformational Innovation	Technology	Unattractive
FIJGX	Fidelity Advisor Telecommunications Fund	Telecom Services	Unattractive
PRUQX	PGIM Jennison Utility Fund	Utilities	Unattractive

Sources: New Constructs, LLC and company filings

Fidelity appears more often than any other provider in Figure 2, which means that it offers the most mutual funds with the worst holdings.

PGIM Jennison Health Sciences Fund (PRUQX) is the worst rated mutual fund in Figure 2. American Beacon ARK Transformational Innovation Fund (ADNRX) and Vanguard Real Estate II Index Fund (VRTPX) also earn a Very Unattractive <u>predictive overall rating</u>, which means not only do they hold poor stocks, they charge high total annual costs.

Our <u>overall ratings on mutual funds</u> are on our <u>stock ratings</u> of their holdings and the total annual costs of investing in the fund.

## The Danger Within

Buying a mutual fund without analyzing its holdings is like buying a stock without analyzing its business and finances. Put another way, research on mutual fund holdings is necessary due diligence because a mutual fund's performance is only as good as its holdings' performance. Don't just take our word for it, see what Barron's says on this matter.

<sup>1</sup> Compare our analytics on a mega cap company to Bloomberg and Capital IQ's (SPGI) analytics in the <u>detailed appendix of this paper</u>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Harvard Business School features the powerful impact of our research automation technology in the case <u>New Constructs: Disrupting Fundamental Analysis with Robo-Analysts</u>.



1/28/21

### PERFORMANCE OF MUTUAL FUND'S HOLDINGS = PERFORMANCE OF MUTUAL FUND

Analyzing each holding within funds is no small task. Our Robo-Analyst technology enables us to perform this diligence with scale and provide the research needed to fulfill the fiduciary duty of care. More of the biggest names in the financial industry (see At BlackRock, Machines Are Rising Over Managers to Pick Stocks) are now embracing technology to leverage machines in the investment research process. Technology may be the only solution to the dual mandate for research: cut costs and fulfill the fiduciary duty of care. Investors, clients, advisors and analysts deserve the latest in technology to get the diligence required to make prudent investment decisions.

This article originally published on <u>January 28, 2021</u>.

Disclosure: David Trainer, Kyle Guske II, and Matt Shuler receive no compensation to write about any specific stock, sector, or theme.

Follow us on Twitter, Facebook, LinkedIn, and StockTwits for real-time alerts on all our research.





## It's Official: We Offer the Best Fundamental Data in the World

Many firms claim their research is superior, but none of them can prove it with independent studies from highly-respected institutions as we can. Below, we present three different papers from both the public and private sectors that prove the superiority of our proprietary fundamental data, earnings models, investment ratings, and research for stocks, bonds, ETFs, and mutual funds.

#### **Best Fundamental Data in the World**

Forthcoming in <u>The Journal of Financial Economics</u>, a top peer-reviewed journal, <u>Core Earnings: New Data & Evidence</u> proves the superiority of our <u>fundamental data</u>, <u>earnings</u> models, and <u>research</u>. More <u>details</u>.

### Key quotes from the paper:

- "[New Constructs'] Total Adjustments differs significantly from the items identified and excluded from Compustat's adjusted earnings measures. For example... 50% to 70% of the variation in Total Adjustments is not explained by IBSPI Adjustments, OIADP Adjustments, or OPE Adjustments individually." – pp. 14, 1st para.
- "A final source of differences [between New Constructs' and S&P Global's data] is due to data collection oversights...we identified cases where Compustat did not collect information relating to firms' income that is useful in assessing core earnings." pp. 16, 2<sup>nd</sup> para.

## **Superior Models**

A top accounting firm features the superiority of our <u>NOPAT</u>, <u>Invested Capital</u>, and <u>ROIC</u> research on four megacap companies in "<u>Getting ROIC right</u>: how an accurate view of ROIC can drive improved shareholder value".

#### Key quotes from the paper:

- "...an accurate calculation of ROIC requires more diligence than often occurs in some of the common, off-the-shelf ROIC calculations. Only by scouring the footnotes and the MD&A [the New Constructs method] can investors get an accurate calculation of ROIC." pp. 8, 5<sup>th</sup> para.
- "The majority of the difference...comes from New Constructs' machine learning approach, which
  leverages technology to calculate ROIC by applying accounting adjustments that may be buried deeply
  in the footnotes across thousands of companies." pp. 4, 2<sup>nd</sup> para.

See the Appendix for direct comparison of our analysis of DOW's 2015 results to Capital IQ and Bloomberg.

### **Superior Stock Ratings**

Robo-Analysts' stock ratings outperform those from human analysts as shown in this <u>paper</u> from Indiana's Kelley School of Business. Bloomberg features the paper <u>here</u>.

#### Key quotes from the paper:

- "the portfolios formed following the buy recommendations of Robo-Analysts earn abnormal returns that are statistically and economically significant." pp. 6, 3<sup>rd</sup> para.
- "Our results ultimately suggest that Robo-Analysts are a valuable, alternative information intermediary to traditional sell-side analysts." pp. 20, 3<sup>rd</sup> para.

Our mission is to provide the best fundamental analysis of public and private businesses in the world and make it affordable for all investors, not just Wall Street insiders.

We believe every investor deserves to know the whole truth about the profitability and valuation of any company they consider for investment. More details on our cutting-edge technology and how we use it are here.



1/28/21

## **DISCLOSURES**

New Constructs®, LLC (together with any subsidiaries and/or affiliates, "New Constructs") is an independent organization with no management ties to the companies it covers. None of the members of New Constructs' management team or the management team of any New Constructs' affiliate holds a seat on the Board of Directors of any of the companies New Constructs covers. New Constructs does not perform any investment or merchant banking functions and does not operate a trading desk.

New Constructs' Stock Ownership Policy prevents any of its employees or managers from engaging in Insider Trading and restricts any trading whereby an employee may exploit inside information regarding our stock research. In addition, employees and managers of the company are bound by a code of ethics that restricts them from purchasing or selling a security that they know or should have known was under consideration for inclusion in a New Constructs report nor may they purchase or sell a security for the first two days after New Constructs issues a report on that security.

### **DISCLAIMERS**

The information and opinions presented in this report are provided to you for information purposes only and are not to be used or considered as an offer or solicitation of an offer to buy or sell securities or other financial instruments. New Constructs has not taken any steps to ensure that the securities referred to in this report are suitable for any particular investor and nothing in this report constitutes investment, legal, accounting or tax advice. This report includes general information that does not take into account your individual circumstance, financial situation or needs, nor does it represent a personal recommendation to you. The investments or services contained or referred to in this report may not be suitable for you and it is recommended that you consult an independent investment advisor if you are in doubt about any such investments or investment services.

Information and opinions presented in this report have been obtained or derived from sources believed by New Constructs to be reliable, but New Constructs makes no representation as to their accuracy, authority, usefulness, reliability, timeliness or completeness. New Constructs accepts no liability for loss arising from the use of the information presented in this report, and New Constructs makes no warranty as to results that may be obtained from the information presented in this report. Past performance should not be taken as an indication or guarantee of future performance, and no representation or warranty, express or implied, is made regarding future performance. Information and opinions contained in this report reflect a judgment at its original date of publication by New Constructs and are subject to change without notice. New Constructs may have issued, and may in the future issue, other reports that are inconsistent with, and reach different conclusions from, the information presented in this report. Those reports reflect the different assumptions, views and analytical methods of the analysts who prepared them and New Constructs is under no obligation to insure that such other reports are brought to the attention of any recipient of this report.

New Constructs' reports are intended for distribution to its professional and institutional investor customers. Recipients who are not professionals or institutional investor customers of New Constructs should seek the advice of their independent financial advisor prior to making any investment decision or for any necessary explanation of its contents.

This report is not directed to, or intended for distribution to or use by, any person or entity who is a citizen or resident of or located in any locality, state, country or jurisdiction where such distribution, publication, availability or use would be contrary to law or regulation or which would be subject New Constructs to any registration or licensing requirement within such jurisdiction.

This report may provide the addresses of websites. Except to the extent to which the report refers to New Constructs own website material, New Constructs has not reviewed the linked site and takes no responsibility for the content therein. Such address or hyperlink (including addresses or hyperlinks to New Constructs own website material) is provided solely for your convenience and the information and content of the linked site do not in any way form part of this report. Accessing such websites or following such hyperlink through this report shall be at your own risk.

All material in this report is the property of, and under copyright, of New Constructs. None of the contents, nor any copy of it, may be altered in any way, copied, or distributed or transmitted to any other party without the prior express written consent of New Constructs. All trademarks, service marks and logos used in this report are trademarks or service marks or registered trademarks or service marks of New Constructs. Copyright New Constructs, LLC 2003 through the present date. All rights reserved.